

Handwritten mark resembling a stylized 'S' or 'Z'.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
 United States Patent and Trademark Office
 Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 P.O. Box 1450
 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,100	04/03/2001	Stephen R. Hanna	SMY 248.01	4446
25181	7590	08/25/2004	EXAMINER	
FOLEY HOAG, LLP			ABRISHAMKAR, KAVEH	
PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST			ART UNIT	
155 SEAPORT BLVD			PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON, MA 02110			2131	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Handwritten mark resembling a stylized 'S' or 'Z'.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/825,100

Applicant(s)

HANNA ET AL.

Examiner

Kaveh Abrishamkar

Art Unit

2131

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 April 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.3.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the communication filed on April 3, 2001.
Claims 1 – 22 were received for consideration. No preliminary amendments for the claims were filed. Claims 1 – 22 are currently being considered.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Initialed and dated copies of Applicant's IDS form 1449 are attached to the Office action.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 13 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities:
The word "comprising" in the preamble should be followed by a colon ":" and not a semicolon ";". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an

Art Unit: 2131

application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1 – 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Schneider et al. (U.S. Patent 6,105,027).

Regarding claim 1, Schneider discloses:

A method for evaluating a set of credentials comprising at least one group credential comprising:

ascertaining at least one first trust rating within at least one of said credentials within said set of credentials including said group credential, wherein each of said first trust ratings is associated with a level of confidence in information being certified within the respective credential (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67);

determining a second trust rating for said set of credentials based, at least in part, upon an analysis of said at least one first trust rating (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67); and

providing a signal in the event said second trust rating satisfies predetermined criteria (column 20 lines 4 – 11).

Regarding claim 13, Schneider discloses:

A system for evaluating a set of credentials including at least one group credential comprising:

Art Unit: 2131

a memory containing an access control program (column 7 lines 42 – 65);
and

a processor operative to execute said access control program (column 7 lines 42 – 65);

said access control program comprising:

program code for ascertaining at least one first trust rating within at least one of said credentials within said set of credentials including said group credential, wherein each of said first trust ratings is associated with a level of confidence in information being certified within the respective credential (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67);

program code for determining a second trust rating for said set of credentials based, at least in part, upon an analysis of said at least one first trust rating (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67); and

program code for providing a signal in the event said second trust rating satisfies predetermined criteria (column 20 lines 4 – 11).

Regarding claim 18, Schneider discloses:

A computer program product including a computer readable medium, said computer readable medium having an access control program stored thereon, said access control program for execution on a processor and comprising:

program code for ascertaining at least one first trust rating within at least one credential within a set of credentials including group credential, wherein each of said first trust ratings is associated with a level of confidence in information

Art Unit: 2131

being certified within the respective credential (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67);

program code for determining a second trust rating for said set of credentials based, at least in part, upon an analysis of said at least one first trust rating (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67); and

program code for providing a signal in the event said second trust rating satisfies predetermined criteria (column 20 lines 4 – 11).

Regarding claim 19, Schneider discloses:

A computer data signal, said computer data signal including a computer program for use in evaluating a set of credentials, said computer program comprising:

program code for ascertaining at least one first trust rating within at least one credential within said set of credentials, wherein said set of credentials includes a group credential and wherein each of said first trust ratings is associated with a level of confidence in information being certified within the respective credential (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67);

program code for determining a second trust rating for said set of credentials based, at least in part, upon an analysis of said at least one first trust rating (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67); and

program code for providing a signal in the event said second trust rating satisfies predetermined criteria (column 20 lines 4 – 11).

Art Unit: 2131

Regarding claim 20, Schneider discloses:

A system for evaluating a set of credentials including at least one group credential comprising:

means for storing an access control program (column 7 lines 42 – 65); and

means for executing said access control program out of said storing

means, said access control program including:

program code for ascertaining at least one first trust rating within at least one of said credentials within said set of credentials including said group credential, wherein each of said first trust ratings is associated with a level of confidence in information being certified within the respective credential (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67);

program code for determining a second trust rating for said set of credentials based, at least in part, upon an analysis of said at least one first trust rating (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67); and

program code for providing a signal in the event said second trust rating satisfies predetermined criteria (column 20 lines 4 – 11).

Regarding claim 21, Schneider discloses:

A method for evaluating a set of credentials comprising at least one group credential comprising:

ascertaining at least one first trust rating within at least one of said credentials within said set of credentials including said group credential, wherein each of said first trust ratings is associated with a level of confidence in

Art Unit: 2131

information being certified within the respective credential (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67);

determining a second trust rating for said set of credentials based, at least in part, upon an analysis of said at least one first trust rating (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67); and

storing said second trust rating for subsequent use (column 9 lines 40 – 67).

Claim 2 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Schneider discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein said providing step includes the step of comparing said second trust rating to a predetermined trust rating threshold and wherein said predetermined criteria comprises said predetermined trust rating threshold (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67, column 19 line 45 – column 10 line 13).

Claim 3 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore, Schneider discloses:

The method of claim 1 wherein said determining step comprises the step of assigning said second trust rating equal to the lowest trust rating among the first trust ratings of the respective credentials within a single certification path (column 9 lines 3 – 60, column 20 lines 42 – 47).

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 4 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore,

Schneider discloses:

The method of claim 1 further including, in the event said set of credentials forms a plurality of certification paths having at least one credential in each of said paths, the steps of:

determining for each of said plurality of certification paths a third trust rating equal to the lowest trust rating in the credentials of the respective certification path (column 9 lines 3 – 60, column 20 lines 42 – 47); and

assigning said second trust rating equal to the highest trust rating of said third trust ratings (column 9 lines 3 – 60, column 20 lines 42 – 47).

Claim 6 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 1. Furthermore,

Schneider discloses:

6. The method of claim 1 wherein said signal comprises an indication that access to a resource should be granted (column 20 lines 4 – 11).

Claim 14 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 13. Furthermore,

Schneider discloses:

The system of claim 13 wherein said access control program further includes program code for comparing said second trust rating to a predetermined trust rating threshold and wherein said predetermined criteria comprises said predetermined trust rating threshold (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67, column 19 line 45 – column 10 line 13).

Claim 15 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 13. Furthermore

Schneider discloses:

The system of claim 13 wherein said program code for determining said second trust rating includes program code for assigning said second trust rating as the lowest trust rating among the respective credentials within a single certification path (column 9 lines 3 – 60, column 20 lines 42 – 47).

Claim 16 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 13. Furthermore,

Schneider discloses:

The system of claim 13 wherein said access control program further includes program code operative in the event said set of credentials forms plural certification paths for:

determining for each of said plural certification paths a third trust rating equal to the lowest trust rating in the credentials within the respective certification path (column 9 lines 3 – 60, column 20 lines 42 – 47); and

assigning said second trust rating equal to the highest trust rating of said third trust ratings (column 9 lines 3 – 60, column 20 lines 42 – 47).

Claim 17 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 13. Furthermore,

Schneider discloses:

The system of claim 13 wherein said signal comprises an indication that access to a resource should be granted (column 20 lines 4 – 11).

Art Unit: 2131

Claim 22 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 21. Furthermore,

Schneider discloses:

The method of claim 21 further including the step of inserting said second trust rating stored in said storing step in another group credential (column 9 lines 40 – 67).

Claim 5 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 4. Furthermore,

Schneider discloses:

The method of claim 4 wherein said providing step includes the step of comparing said second trust rating to said predetermined criteria (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67, column 19 line 45 – column 10 line 13).

Claim 7 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 6. Furthermore,

Schneider discloses:

The method of claim 6 further including the step of granting access to said resource in response to said indication (column 20 lines 4 – 11).

Claim 12 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 3. Furthermore,

Schneider discloses:

The method of claim 3 wherein said ascertaining step further includes the step of obtaining an identity credential trust rating associated with an identity

Art Unit: 2131

credential within said certification path (column 9 lines 1 – 58, column 18 lines 12 – 67).

Claim 8 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore,
Schneider discloses:

The method of claim 7 wherein said resource comprises a file on a file server (column 19 lines 45 – 67).

Claim 9 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore,
Schneider discloses:

The method of claim 7 wherein said resource comprises a web page (column 19 lines 45 – 67).

Claim 10 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore,
Schneider discloses:

The method of claim 7 wherein said resource comprises a secure area (column 19 lines 45 – 67).

Claim 11 is rejected as applied above in rejecting claim 7. Furthermore,
Schneider discloses:

The method of claim 7 wherein said resource comprises a database (column 19 lines 45 – 67).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Abrishamkar whose telephone number is 703-305-8892. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 703-305-9648. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KA
08/20/2004

E. L. Moise
EMMANUEL L. MOISE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Art 2131